

Weekly Meeting (20.02.2026)

Attendees: Pierre-Yves, Alexandre, Jeremy, Cédric, Marco, Nathan, Kevin, Louis, Louis Lettru, Cédric Travelletto

Time: 10h00 | **Scribes:** Jeremy, Louis

Critics received :

General

Presentation

- Transform the flowchart diagram to a more transversal one
- When defining milestones, always have precise validation criteria
- Link work presented directly with milestones list
- Have local conclusions for each team part
- Continue to always display findings with tests results
- Add bullet points to slides, to help coming back in the future
- When presenting milestones, always with visual DONE/TODO
 - if still in TODO then also add the blockers
- Always present existing sources of a displayed information

Organization

- A locally flat duck will help for the coloring part (in prototype)
- When testing something - push up to 5-10 cases to be able to quantify complexity
- Arguing about “what we will produce/not produce” is only a client’s privilege
- Being an expert able to manipulate the client
- Be careful that tasks don’t overlap each others (e.g. research conducted by GenAI and Tracing teams)
- Pen type must be ASAP steps
- Define the interfaces
- Define the robot solution (e.g. know which move type command will suffice)

Research tips

- Use a promise paper’s reference in Google scholar to find recent development in the field

Team focused

GenAI

- The client prompt could be internally updated before being sent to generative model
- Try more realist model in generation tests
- Synthetise state of research results

Tracing

- Limiting the color panel and/or patterns
- Discretization : attention to contiguous zone size : not smaller than the pen tip

robot

- Add a visual about the simulation’s environment and robot environment
- Add a visual (disc into disc) about the robot range